

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/004,000 04/21/3	78 ELIA	.,	/96-P-12		
	QM31/0527	EXAMINER			
TOD R NISSLE P.O. BOX 55630 PHOENIX AZ 85078	į	LUCCHI	CHESI,N		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3732			
		DATE MAILED:	05/27/99		

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Su	mmary	09/064,000	Eli	T	
2	•	Examiner	0.13	Group Art Unit	
				3732	
The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication appears	on the cover she	et beneath the c	orrespondence add	ress
Period for Response					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	FOR RESPONSE IS SE TION.	T TO EXPIRE	3MONT	H(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under from the mailing date of this communication If the period for response specified above is If NO period for response is specified above Failure to respond within the set or extende 	s less than thirty (30) days, a	response within the st	atutory minimum of th	nirty (30) days will be con	sidered ti
Status				(3 100).
☐ Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on				
☐ This action is FINAL .					•
 Since this application is in condition accordance with the practice under 	n for allowance except fo Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (r formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	rosecution as to 213.	the merits is closed	l in
Disposition of Claims					
XOClaim(s)	1-5		is/are n	ending in the applica	tion.
Of the above claim(s)			is/are p	the drawn for any	uon.
□ Claim(s)			is/are w	ithdrawn from consid	leration
Claim(s)	1-5		is/are a	llowed.	
Claim(e)			is/are re	ejected.	
Claim(s)			is/are o	bjected to.	
☐ Claim(s)			are sub	ject to restriction or e	lection
Application Papers			requirer	nent.	
\square See the attached Notice of Draftspe	rson's Patent Drawing R	eview, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, fil	ed on	is 🗆 approve	d 🗆 disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected	to by the Examine	r.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the					
\square The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim □ All □ Some* □ None of the C □ received. 	for foreign priority under ERTIFIED copies of the	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(apriority documents	a)-(d). have been		
☐ received in Application No. (Serie ☐ received in this national stage app	s Code/Serial Number)_ olication from the Interna	tional Bureau (PC	「Rule 1 7,2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)				•	,
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s),	PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	_	Intention Com	m. DTO 440	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			Interview Summa		DTA :
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw				Patent Application,	
(Otner		
. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Ac	tion Summary			
7-326 (Rev. 3-97)	*U.S. GPO: 199	97-417-381/62710		Part of Paner No	

Part of Paper No.____

Application/Control Number: 09/064,000

Art Unit: 3303

DETAILED ACTION

Continuing Status

1. The oath in this application indicates that benefit is claimed of parent application 08/837,608. However, no other indication is provided as to whether this application is a continuation, or a CIP of that application.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims in this application recite a method of forming an organ in the body, as well as a composition for producing a germinal organ for implantation, as well as a gene and living cells.

Application/Control Number: 09/064,000 Page 3

Art Unit: 3303

A claim drawn to a non-plant multicellular organism (an organ) or a naturally occurring article which has not been altered is not considered "new" under 35 USC 101. In this case, the article has not been significantly altered such as to impart a patentable distinction from the same naturally occurring multicellular living organism. American Fruit Growers v. Broadex, 283 U.S. 1,8, USPQ 131 (1931).

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

The method of forming an organ in the body, as well as the composition for producing an organ for implanting in the body would not be accepted as obviously valid by one of ordinary skill in the art. The allegation that organs can be created and grown either in vivo or in vitro borders on the incredible to one of ordinary skill in the art. This rejection might be overcome by submitting evidence in affidavit form, such as the results of scientific tests, conducted or witnessed by competent, disinterested third parties. Such tests should include proper scientific controls. Mere testimonials from patients would likely be of little value, for obvious reasons.

Specification

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any

Art Unit: 3303

person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. The specification is objected to under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to make and/or use the invention, i.e. failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

The disclosure is non enabling for the creation of an organ. Because such allegations border on the incredible, one skilled in the art would not be able to make or use the invention as recited and claimed. It is held that which is impossible, cannot be enabled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 3303

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nick Lucchesi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2698.

1

Nick Lucchesi

May 26, 1999

Nicholas D. Lucchesi Primary Examiner